SPRCOUCED A) THE NATIONAL ARCHIVES

# DECLASSIFIED Authority <u>M N 2</u> By N. TANARA Date (->

15 160 EXECUTIVE: REFT SENATE 83D CONGRESS 1st Session No 8 🛲 1.55 1 2010 132 . DEBT AGREEMENTS WITH THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY 

> FRIDAR, JULY 3, 1953. Ordered to be printed [ 1993] - and f 1.11 . . \*\*\* 2 \* \* \* • • . .\* = \* .

Mr. WILEY, from the Committee on Foreign Relations, submitted the following

#### REPORT

#### [To accompany Executives D, E, F, and G, Eighty-third Congress, First session]

The Committee on Foreign Relations, having had under considera-tion a series of agreements with the Federal Republic of Germany (Ex. D. E. F. and G. 83d Cong., 1st sees.), reports them to the Senate and recommends that the Senate give its advice and consent to their ratification.

Public hearings were held on June 17 and 18, 1953, and the com-mittee voted to report the agreements favorably on July 2, 1953.

#### GENERAL PURPOSE OF THE AGREEMENTS

- The agreements ponding before the Senate are as follows: I. An agreement on German external debts, signed at London on February 27, 1953, by the Federal Republic of Germany, and by the United States and 17 other creditor countries (Ex. D).
- (Ex. D).
  II. An agreement between the United States and the Federal Republic of Germany regarding the settlement of the claims of the United States for postwar economic assistance (other than surplus property) to Germany, signed at London on February 27, 1953 (Ex. E).
  III. An agreement between the United States and the Federal Republic of Germany relating to the indebtedness of Ger-many for awards made by the Mixed Claims Commission, United States and Germany, signed at London on February 27, 1953 (Ex. F).
- 1953 (Ex. F).
  IV. An agreement between the United States and the Federal Republic of Germany concerning the validation of German dollar bonds, signed at Boan on April 1, 1953 (Ex. G). 26119

Chrismans Bohas Germ Bont Validation Program Box 112

. . . . .

. . ......

### DECLASSIFIED Authority N. J. 29548 By By ARA Date (2-14-07

# 2 DEST AGREEMENTS WITH FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY

Three of these agreements concern payments to be made by the German Government, by public authorities in Germany and by private debtors in Germany to American nationals entitled to benefit from exidences of German indebtedness. The fourth agreement (Ex. E) is a settlement between the United States and the Federal Republic of Germany for GARIOA (government and relief in occupied areas) and ECA postwar assistance.

The agreements, if ratified, and if given effect by the necessary private settlements between creditors and debtors, should assist in regularizing the financial relationships of the German Government and German private debtors with the rest of the world.

#### SUMMARIES OF AGREEMENTS

The immediately following sections describe in broad terms the pending agreements. A summary of the agreements and annexes appears in the Senate document (Ex. D, E, F, and G) transmitting the agreements to the Senate at page 203.

I. THE AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY, THE UNITED STATES, AND 17 OTHER CREDITOR COUNTRIES ON GERMAN EXTERNAL DEBTS (EX. D)

This agreement, which will become effective when ratified by the Governments of the United Kingdom, the Federal Republic of Germany, the French Republic, and the United States of America, states that the parties thereto find "reasonable" the proposed terms of settlement set forth in annexes to the agreement. The debts covered are the external prewar debts of the German Government, external bonds issued or guaranteed by public bodies within the territory of the Federal Republic, and private debts as noted below, most of which are owed by West German debtors to private creditors. The agreement defers for the time being consideration of governmental claims against Germany arising out of World Wars I and IL. The relationship between the governments signing this agreement

The relationship between the governments signing this agreement and the private creditors is unique. In effect, the intergovernmental agreement sets forth the general terms which the governmental parties find "reasonable" and provides a framework within which private agreements can later be negotiated between individual creditors (or their representatives), and governmental authorities or private groups in Germany. The annexes to the basic agreement, are, couched in terms of "agreed recommendations," made by representatives of private groups that creditors of specified classes are advised to consider settlements offered by German debtors in West Germany along the lines recommended. Annexes to this agreement cover the debts of the Reich and other German public agencies, debts resulting from private capital transactions, the so-called "standstill debts," or short term loans in default, and certain other types of debts. The recommended terms of settlement are indicated in table III.

#### DEBT AGREEMEN

# German prewar external as of Dec. 31, 1960, as

Public p

Debt of Federal Government:
 a. Dawes, Young, Zrueg,
 b. Other

۰.

Total, Federal Gover 2. Bonds of Laguider and munici Total, public.....

Frivate prewar debts:
 1. Borded debts.
 2. Standstill debts.
 3. Miscellaneous and com

Total, private......

Mar. 1, 1953.

 $a_1, a_2 \in \{1, \dots, n^{n-1}\}$ 

ŀ

!

۰.

i

ļ

ŧ

1

÷

# DECLASSIFIED Authority <u>NAFA Date (27) 4-0</u>7 By

.---

1.71

į

### DEBT AGREEMENTS WITH FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY 3

### I. GERMAN DEBT SETTLEMENT

German prewar external debt, by major categories, principal, and accrued interest, as of Dec. 51, 1960, as adjusted in International Conference on German Debts [In million dollars]

Public prewer debts	Principal	A ceruod interest	Total
<ol> <li>Debt of Pederal Oovernment: <ul> <li>Dawes, Young, Krueger leans.</li> <li>Other</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	319.6 232.0	95.0 18-b	477. ( 250. )
Totel, Federal Government. 2. Boods of Lagadar and muckepalling.	623.6 51.7	204. 6 20. 4	725.
Total, public	675. 3	124. 8	<b>SOL</b> 1
<ol> <li>Frivate prewar debta:         <ol> <li>Bonded debta:</li> <li>Bonded debta:</li> <li>Btandstill debta:</li> <li>Miscallaneous and commercial.</li> </ol> </li> </ol>	152.9 92.8 376.3	76. B 44. 3 95. 2	228.3 197.3 471.1
Total, private	022, 0	218.4	837
Tate!	1, 297. 3	340.3	1, 637,

ş

Mat. 1, 1953.

. .

		I	GERMAN	DEET	SETTLES	LENT						
		Major countr					at date 1				j,	
		-	у платиу, црві ріца весі				ar 11(1)1 -					
·							]		,			(m. — . · · —
		Tota		d States	United .	Kingdom	<b>₽</b> ⊓	4109	l Switz	erland.		ber
			Amotant	Percent of total	Amévot	Percent of Intal	Amonint	Percest of total	Amount	Percent of total	Amount	Percent.
Prietolis public debt:				]		j	, 	 				· · · · ·
Debt of Federal Ropublic: Dawes loan. Young loan.				52	29.8 40.8	26	7 2 112 8	6 36	6 S 16.6	5	12 4 49.3	11 16
Other. Debt of Lagader moni	citalitics.		81 4120.6	1 52 123 12 12	26.3 1 14.3	20	.2		13.4 LL.6	4 10	134.3 17.3	45 21
Corporate bonded dobt	•			 [ \$5 [ \$5	j 44.0	20	(0)		490	25	B. 6	4
Standstill debts Miscellaneous and commen	rial debas	137. 137. 171.		21	f 76.3   58.1	56	17.5	•	26.4	19 25	180.8	
Total		j 1.637.	6 546.6	33	\$10.5	1.9	137.9	8	240.0	15	402.6	25
• Includes \$27 6 million to be 		I lement reached as	II. Germ	AN PREV	ference (	втк		Landon	n, 1952 		Matu	Hiy date
	Terms of said	I Iement reached a Thic Recalculated at m at 3 percent for 3 tigation after 10	II. GERM I Internation rest arrans ew interest a by years; 2 per	AN PREN ional Cor	AAR DE	BTK on Geren turn Intere		•[			-	fiy date 
Category	Terms of settl Principal	I lement reached as Recalculated at m nt 3 percest for 3	II. GERM I Internatis rest armurs w interest a by years; 2 per by interest all inferentia.	AN PHEN ional Con ute; (coded result action 145-32 post-	NAR DE ference Fe Reduce	BTK on Germ turn Intere 	st rates	3 perme 1955.	Amortizat	ing Apr. I	, L989.	Hiy data 
Category Dawes houss: United States transfer	Terms of sold Principsi Unchanges	I Iement reached at This Resistinted at n nt 3 percent for tistion after 10 poned until recu	II. GERM I Internatis rest armurs w interest a by years; 2 per by interest all inferentia.	AN PHEN ional Con ute; (coded result action 145-32 post-	NAR DE ference Fe Reduces	BTK on Germ turn Intere 	st rate  b}é percont	3 perme	Amortizat	ing Apr. I	, L989.	riy (Jaba
Category Dawes transfe: United States transfe Other transfes Young Lyan:	Terms of said Principsi Unchanged	I Iement reached a Recalculated at a at a propertion tistion after 10 poned until repu- do	II. GERM t Internations ew interest an by many 2 per by interest 11 interest 11	AN PREN ional Cor	Reduced	BTK on Germ. ture Intere ó tram 7 to 1 from 7 to	st rate bjé perconj å percent	2 parne 1µ35, 2 parce 1938,	Amortizar	ing Apr. 1 ing Apr. 1	. 1989.	rf13; claton
Category Dawes houds: United States tranabé Other tranches Young Lown: Onlited States tranche	Terms of saill Principal Unchanged	I Iement reached a Inter Recalculated at m nt 3 percest for titation after 10 ponet until resu do	II. GERM t Internation rest armurs eve interest of by years; 2 per the percent; for ris; 1 percent; 1 perce	AN PREN ional Cor ute; (conded resolt active 45-32 post-	Reduced	BTK on Germ. turn Intern ó tram 7 to 1 from 7 to 1 from 7 to	st rate bis percent. è percent.	2 parne 1µ35, 2 parce 1938,	Amortizar	ing Apr. 1 ing Apr. 1	. 1989.	rf13' «Laten
Category — Category — Dawes houds: United States transhe Other transhes Young Lyan: Önited States transhe	Terms of said Principsi Unchanged	I Iement reached a I Inte Recalculated at an at 3 percest for 10 poned until repu- do	II. GERM t Internation ever interest armurs ever interest armurs by sears: 2 per to resears: 2 per to	AN PREN ional Cor ule; funded mont active 45-22 post-	Reduced	BTK on Germ. ture Intere ó tram 7 to 1 from 7 to	st rate bis percent. è percent.	2 percent 1935, 2 percent 1955, 1955, 1955,	Amortizar	ing Apr. 1 mg Apr. 1	. 1989.	rfi3' «Laten

DECLASSIFIED Authority <u>10 102 275 YK</u> BYR: TANARA Date (12 -1 4-97

.

PRODUCED AT THE NATIONAL ASCHIVES

·· .

**-** -**--** · ·

··**T**\* · ·

.

:

United States transhe	do	Recolculated at 4/2 percent; funded at 3 percont for 20 years, 1 percent auoritze- tion after 105%; interest 1946-52 post-	Reduced from 614 to 5 percent.	1 percent beginning Apr. J. 1998.	†1980. ·
Other transles	Principal to be computed on dollar clause	pnaed Until remification.	Reduced from 515 to 414 per- oant.	do	19 <del>0</del> 0.
Erenger Match losh	hasis, do	Remdinisted at 4 percent; funded at 3 per- cent for 20 years; 1 percent similarities after 1968; interest 1945-52 pictpunct	Reduced from 6 to 4 percent	tiá peromit beginning Apr. 1, 1958.	1994.
Bonds issued or guaranized by Logarder or municipalities.	Unchanged	until reunification. 36 of arrangem regula dated at new interest rate to be funded.	34 of old contractual rate un- less rate was less than 4 per- ceal.	I permat logitudug Apr. 1, 1953; 20 yaurs beginning Apr. 1, 1968.	oxistine contu- rity data
Bonds of German corporations	do	14 of arrears to be lubited		1 Denent beginning Januszy 1958.	30 Lo 75 years from salsting date.
Stauðstill debis	to	Armore calculated at 4 percent to be added to principal.	in secondspec current bank- ing charges.	None except 3 percent per quarter new credits.	Cars be realego- tinted at end of year.
Commercial and mixed/subous debts:					
Trado debis	do.t	¥a né arrears to be added to principal	When payable, 34 of contrac- taal rate with 6 percent man- imum to accrue beginning Jan. 1, 1955.	On all types crodients may option for immediate pav- ment in deutschemurks; bi immediately, bajaoes in 10 eutai apputites.	1963.
		, ilo	Not normally payable. Where payable, 94 of custrac- tual rate with 4 percent min- imum, to accrue beginning	5 ruini sunuities 10 ruini sunuites	1957. 1937.
Financial debts	do.!	,.du	Jan. L. 1958. 34 of contractual inter with 4 percept minimum	<ol> <li>percent beginning Jan. 1, 1958; 8 percent heginning Jan. 1, 1963; 15 percent there- after.</li> </ol>	1970.
ŀ			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>

.

----

<sup>1</sup> In case of gold clause, principal to be calculated on dollar clause basis.

.

.

Mar. 1, 1953.

.

DECLASSIFIED Authority <u>12 NO 295 YX</u> BYR SNARA Date (2 -1 Y-4 7

DEBT AGREEMENTS WITH FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANT

Cn

.

PRODUCED AT THE NATIONAL ARCHIVES

• •

. . .

Gross ald:

### DECLASSIFIED Authority No. 10 29548

By MARA Date (2 - 4 - 0 7

#### 6 DEBT AGREEMENTS WITH FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY

Table II above shows that the total principal amount of German debts covered by this agreement is estimated to be \$1,637.6 million, of which \$546.6 million is estimated to be payable in dollars.

#### II. THE AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES AND THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY SETTLING CLAIMS FOR UNITED STATES POST-WAR ECONOMIC ABSISTANCE (EX. E)

This agreement notes that the United States, since the war through June 30, 1951, has furnished Germany, under the appropriations for government and relief in occupied areas (GARIOA) and the ECA program, assistance in the amount of \$3,013,974,677. It also notes that it is the policy of the United States to adjust claims for reimbursement of this amount "on a basis generally similar to that established for the other free nations of Europa." The agreement then reduces the amount of this claim from \$3 billion to \$1 billion, calls for payments over a 35-year period, and fixes the rate of interest on the unpaid principal at 2% percent per annum. This agreement is discussed in more detail at page 224 of the Presi-

This agreement is discussed in more detail at page 224 of the President's message transmitting the agreements to the Senate (Ex. D, E, F, and G).

E, F, and G). The table which follows gives a breakdown of the types of postwar aid given to Germany by the United States.

#### United States postwar aid to the Federal Republic of Germany, from date of initial entry of United States forces into Germany to June 30, 1951

#### [ Millions of dollars

Pre-GARIOA (government and relief in cenupled areas)	6.2 4.5
GARIOA 1, 53 ECA and related aid 1, 54	0, 7
Gross aid exclusive of surplus property obligations3, 36 Deductions for credits to United States, including 5-percent counterpart33	1. 4 17, 4
Net aid exclusive of surplus property obligations	4.0

III. AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES AND THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY RELATING TO AWARDS MADE BY THE MIXED CLAIMS COMMISSION, UNITED STATES AND GERMANY (EX. F)

In 1930 an agreement between the United States and Germany recognized the indebtedness of Germany to American claimants who suffered damage as the result of German actions in the United States prior to and during World War I. Shortly after this agreement was reached, the German Government defaulted upon its payments. The present agreement sets forth the obligation of the Federal Republic to pay to the United States \$97,500,000 on behalf of American nationals. The agreement also sets forth the schedule of payments.

IV. AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES AND THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY CONCERNING THE VALIDATION OF GERMAN DOLLAR BONDS (EX. G)

In order to be sure that German dollar bonds which may have been unlawfully acquired (the Soviets apparently looted large quantities of such bonds at the time of their occupation of Berlin in 1945) will not benefit by the terms of the settlement covered by the afore-

#### DEBT AGREEME

mentioned agreeme states that no bond able unless it has b established by an ex 1953. That execut information. The fully effective with

Surplus property namely, that betwee Republic of Germa furnished Germany This agreement is b under the authority ices Act of 1949, an debt agreement ref agreement on surj United States in tb paid in 50 semiann interest at the ratpayment of some dollars.

\$

Office of Foreign Liqui European Command, Surplus property (spetion)

Totai ..... Deduction for prepay:

> Total..... Bubject to adjustment for

This executive a the President's m

During the 192 credit, sold a large bonds were sold i Dawes loan of 18 number of lesser ( United States (loa million) as did ce porations and priv and public utilitie ments were purch During the dep loans were default operations were 1 power, the Germa exchange transfer .....

....

# - DECLASSIFIED Authority <u>ルルフラランダ名</u> ByR. TANARA Date (ユーナー)?

F

#### DEBT AGREEMENTS WITH FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY 7

🖬 🖓 🖉 👘 🖓

mentioned agreement on German external debts, this agreement states that no bond or other evidence of indebtedness will be enforceable unless it has been validated in accordance with the procedures established by an executive agreement signed at Bonn on February 27, 1953. That executive agreement is transmitted to the Senate for its information. The executive agreement could not, of course, be fully effective without ratification of the pending agreements.

Surplus property.—One other agreement should be mentioned, namely, that between the United States of America and the Federal Republic of Germany regarding the settlement of surplus property furnished Germany, transmitted to the Senate for its information. This agreement is in the nature of an executive agreement, concluded under the authority of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949, and will come into effect when the German externaldebt agreement referred to above becomes effective. The executive agreement on surplus property fixes German indebtedness to the United States in the amount of \$203,000,000. This amount is to be paid in 50 semiannual installments beginning in 1958 and is to draw interest at the rate of 2% percent. Provision is also made for the payment of some of the amounts due in deutschemarks instead of dollars.

#### Surplus property obligations of Germany [Is million dollars]

a municir dodaral

Office of Foreign Liquidation Commission (OFLC) Europeac Command, U. S. Army (EUCOM) sales Surplus property (special goods to increase coal production and distribu-	86, 7 91, 6	
tion)	58, 6	
Total Deduction for prepayments and reacquisitions	216. 9 13. 9	ļ
Total	203. 0	1

<sup>1</sup> Subject to adjustment for 3d-party claims. See art. VIII, surplus property agreement.

This executive agreement is discussed in more detail at page 234 of the President's message transmitting the agreements to the Senate.

### BACKGROUND OF AGREEMENTS

During the 1920's the German Government, in need of foreign credit, sold a large volume of German bonds abroad. Many of these bonds were sold in the United States, the principal loans being the Dawes loan of 1924 and the Young loan of 1930. In addition, a number of lesser German governmental units sought financing in the United States (loans to German states and municipalities totaled \$344 million) as did certain German private groups such as business corporations and private borrowers (total, \$908 million), banks, churches, and public utilities (total, \$430 million). Many of these credit instruments were purchased by private American investors.

ments were purchased by private American investors. During the depression of the 1930's payments upon most of these loans were defaulted and the bonds fell in value. Commercial credit operations were blocked. In 1933, following the rise of Hitler to power, the German Government in the process of restricting foreignexchange transfers established a conversion office for foreign debts

# DECLASSIFIED

Authority <u>NND 29548</u> By R. T. NARA Date (2-14-07

8 DEBT AGREEMENTS WITH FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY

into which private German debtors made payment. The German Government then offered various types of payments to creditors in foreign countries, some of which were accepted but most of which were rejected. German currency manipulations continued until the outbreak of the war, in a manner particularly discriminatory against American creditors.

At the end of the war, the situation in Germany was such that it was impossible to make collections on any of these debts. Since 1948, however, with the help of the United States Government, economic recovery in Western Germany has been substantial. As a result, American economic aid has been gradually reduced and the capacity of the German Government and German private debtors to make payments abroad has been increased.

payments abroad has been increased. Since the summer of 1951 a Tripartite Commission on German Debts, representing the United States, the United Kingdom and, France, has been carrying on negotiations looking toward the settlement of Germany's external debt. By December 1951 such progress had been made in agreement upon the principles by which settlement might be made that an Interna-

By December 1951 such progress had been made in agreement upon the principles by which settlement might be made that an International Conference on German Debts was scheduled to meet in London in February 1952. The United States was represented at this Conference by Ambassador Warren Lee Pierson, who was accompanied by a group of private individuals representing major creditor organizations in the United States.

# RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT AND PRIVATE CREDITORS

Postwar shortage of foreign exchange in Germany made it essential for the occupying powers to exercise control over transfers of foreign exchange from Germany to creditors in other countries. This meant that if a private debtor in Germany wanted to reestablish his credit with a bank in the United States (for example) by paying off old indebtedness, he was not able to do so without the consent of the allied authorities. It was realized by the governments concerned that transfers of exchange abroad for servicing one class of debts could not be authorized without at the same time prejudicing creditors of other types. The result was that the Governments of the United States, France, and the United Kingdom found it necessary to attempt to bring private creditors into some type of workable relationship with German debtors upon a basis of payments which could be sanctioned by the occupying powers. So far as the United States is concerned, the United States Govern-

So far as the United States is concerned, the United States Government has a duty to protect the interests of American citizens doing business abroad. Thus, the Department of State, which took the lead for this Government in negotiating the debt settlement, found it necessary to encourage the creation of private groups to represent various groups of American creditors. The following memorandum from the Department of State describes the groups which were formed and indicates the way in which they received payment for their services.

DEPARTMENT OF STATE, June 18, 1958.

Memorandum.

Subject: Compensation arrangements for creditor representatives at the London Conference on German Debts.

DEBT AGRE

The creditor rep are those represent In this class word t 13 banks holding cl Similarly the Court of approximately i The second grou One of these organ other is the United of these committee of bondholders, bu they were not nom The third catego: debts. In this cas organization willing prominent business The financial art outlined below.

II. FINANCIAL ARE/

A. The Foreign Bo The Foreign Bm the invitation of t the interests of An anteed by foreign ; independent, nonp the Department of pendent status. T period, having neg Poru, Coata Rics, T Germany. In these bondhoiders, and p Since 1943 the c first cash payment: accept an offer of s amount is \$1.25 per carried on in Lond forence are to be p The amount reque expenses incurred usually assumed b There is no content negotiated by the count negotiated by the count holders in connerti It was also unders from time to time disbursements of Visitors has met v State has been kep B. The United Stat formed at the invit

The United State formed at the invit: debt-settlement ne; corporate dollar b whose decisions au mental review or ay The agreement Conference provide

DECLASSIFIED Authority <u>12 10 295 48</u> BYR. TANARA Date (---- 4-0?

#### DEST AGREEMENTS WITH FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY

#### I. INTRODUCTION

The oraditor representatives fall into three general classes. In the first class are those representatives of a relatively small and identifiable group of creditors. In this class were the American Committee for Standstill Creditors, representing 12 banks holding claims for debts suspended by the more torium in the sarking 3016. Similigity the Committee for the Mixed Claim Awardholders acted in the interest of approximately 175 individuals and corporations. The second group included the two organizations that spoke for bondholders. One of these organizations is the Foreign Bondholders Protective Council. The other is the United States Councilies for German Corporate Dollar Bonds. Both of these committees are recognized by the Department as soting in the interest of bondholders, but they do not act in a strictly representative capacity since they were not nominated or elected by the bondholders thomselves. The third energy was the representation for the commercial and miscellaneous debts. In this cases the Department, after unsuccessfully attempting to find an organization, willing to represent this group of debts, finally was obliged to ask a prominently by incast for each of these groups are different. They are outlined below.

## II. FINANCIAL ABBANGEMENTS SUPERVISED BY THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

#### A. The Foreign Bandholders Protective Council, Inc.

RODUCED AT THE NATIONAL ARCHIVES

ł

Child Block - -

the states in

ŝ

٤

A. The Foreign Bondholders Protective Council, Inc.
The Foreign Bondholders Protective Council, Inc., was organised in 1923 at the invitation of the United States Government for the purpose of protecting the interases of American holders of foreign public dollar bonds issued or guaranteed by foreign governments or political subdivisions thereof. It is a private, independent, nonprofit organisation. The council has had the full support of the Department of State since its organization, without impairment of its independent, nonprofit organisation. The council has had the full support of the Department of State since its organization, without impairment of its independent status. The council has recently passed through an unusually active period, having negotiated settlements on the defaulted bond issues of Japan, Peru, Costa Rica, Italy, Chile, Colombia, in addition to the Federal Republic of Gormany. In these negotiations the council acted as the spokesman for American bondholders, and recommended their acceptance of the settlement arrangaments. Since 1943 the council has financed their acceptance of the settlement arrangaments. Since 1943 the council has financed their acceptance of the settlement who elect to accept an offer of settlement negotiated and recommended by the council. The smount is \$1.25 per \$1,000 bond. However, in view of the extended negotiations for anticod in London, in officer's salaries or ataff salaries or expenses usually assumed by the council are to be reimbursed by the German debtors. There is no contingent fee involved as respects any of the delt arrangements are involved as the spokes any of the delt arrangements incursed in Condon; no officer's salaries or ataff salaries or expenses usually assumed by the council are to be reimbursed by the German debtors. There is no contingent fee involved as respects any of the delt arrangements the protoced by the council. In 1937 a Board of Visitors was established, consisting of the Secretary of Sists and the Chairman of the Soci al

B. The United States Committee for German Corporate Dollar Bonds

The United States Committee for German Corporate Dollar Bonds was initially formed at the invitation of the Department of State to participate in the German-debt-settlement negotistions on behalf of the holders of nonguaranteed German corporate dollar bonds. It is a nonprofit, private, independent organization whose decisions and recommendations to bondholders are not subject to govern-

mental review or approval. The agreement governing German corporate bonds adopted at the London Conference provided that the creditors' representatives which participated in the

DECLASSIFIED Authority NND29548 Byr NARA Date (---- 4-07

#### 10 DEBT AGREEMENTS WITH FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY

Conference would undertake, subject to the approval of their respective govern-ments, to represent the bondholders in the negotiation of individual offers of sattlement by the German debtors, which offers it would recommend to bondholders.

holders. Pursuant to this provision the Secretary of State, in a letter dated September 25, 1952, invited the United States Committee for German Corporate Dollar Bonds to continue to act on behalf of the holders of German corporate dollar bonds. In his letter, the Secretary noted that provision had been made for reimburgement of the expenses and the payment of reasonable compensation to the corporate bondholders representatives by the Cerman obligors. The Secretary expressed no objection to such an arrangement, but suggested that, in order to protect the committee and the Government from any possible charge that the expenses and committee and the unreasonable such expenses and compensation be reviewed committee and the Government from any possible charge that the expenses and compensation are unreasonable, such expenses and compensation be reviewed by the Department from time to time. Under this arrangement, and in consulta-tion with the Securities and Exchange Commission, the Department reviewed the financial statement to be submitted by the committee to the appropriate German authorities last fall for the period February 28 through July 31, 1952. The Department is currently reviewing a further financial statement covering the period from August I, 1952, through February 28, 1953. There are no arrangements of any kind for fees to be paid on a contingent basis.

# III. FINANCIAL ARRANGEMENTS WORKED OUT INDEPENDENT OF UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT SUPERVISION

#### A. The American Committee for Standstill Creditors of Germany

This committee is formed for Samasin Cremos of the Mary This committee is formed by 13 American banks holding standstill claims against Germany. The representatives on the committee are officials of the banks who serve on the committee without compensation, except insofar as they are salaried officers of their own banks. The committee handles its own finances without supervision by the United States Government. It is our information that there are no contingent fees involved in its operation.

#### B. The American Awardholders Committee

19. The American Avarance committee This committee was organized at the suggestion of the Treasury Department in 1952. It is composed of the larger number of the 175 individual and corporate awardholders all of whom had been notified of the settlement proceedings, and had been invited to join in selecting representation at the conference. The ex-penses of the committee were guaranteed by a group of the larger awardholders. We are informed that the representatives designated by the committee operated on the basis of a fixed fee and not a contingent fee.

#### C. Miscellaneous and commercial claims

L. Intecentaneous and commercial claims Mr. Gordon Michler, Chairman of the German Committee of the National Foreign Trade Council, attended the conference in connection with commercial and miscellaneous claims. He acted in a personal repacity, accepting this assign-ment at the urgent solicitation of the Department of State. He was selected for this purpose because of his experience and reputation in the field of foreign trade. Mr. Michler did not receive any compensation from any outside source (apart from his own company) by reason of this service. We are informed that no con-tingent fees of any description were involved in his service.

#### NEGOTIATION OF THE AGREEMENTS

The representatives of 28 creditor countries as well as representa-The representatives of 28 creditor countries as well as representa-tives of private creditor groups (including those mentioned above) convened in London on February 28, 1952, in an international con-ference to deal with the prewar debts. This conference is described in some detail at page 11, and following, of the message from the President of the United States transmitting the pending agreements to the Congress. It led to the agreements which are now before the Separa Senate.

#### DEBT AGREEMEN

#### RELATIONSHIP BETW

The Committee on inquired into the rela postwar aconomic as: and the prewar priva bers who noted that t war economic assists approximately \$3 bi creditors for their pre not been written dov cerned, although the: tensions of time over was noted that the U against Germany by France had written d the United Kingdom

Since it is likely t will be able to suppo external debts, the e States Government is private loans. The t effect of this writed had put up money fe

of payments to priva Representatives of ognized that this wa that total claims age to pay, that the Gern a substantially larger States than other Er assistance and which to private American claims was in accord Economic Cooperation executive branch notthe German Govern: prewar debts unless a was able to determin prewar and the post economic assistance s claims for postwar a might "be reduced a established for the ot

The executive brar voluntary agreement unless it had made it ; ment on its prewar  $d \in$ the effect of restoring A table showing

European countries, with Germany for po• DECLASSIFIED Authority <u>NND27548</u> By<u>R. TNARA</u> Date <u>(2-14-0</u>7

27. 1945

#### DEBT AGREEMENTS WITH FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY 11

#### RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PREWAR PRIVATE DEBTS AND POSTWAR GOVERNMENT ASSISTANCE

PRODUCED AT THE NATIONAL ARCHIVES

فالجقي مرجعته وأشتن وسرطس والسلا

ŝ

1

<u>ومن</u>زره

The Committee on Foreign Relations during its hearings carefully inquired into the relationship between the settlement of Government postwar donionic assistance given to Germany by the United States and the prewar private debts. Some concern was evidenced by members who noted that the claims of the American Government for postwar economic assistance to Germany had been written down from approximately \$3 billion to \$1 billion, while the claims of private creditors for their prewar claims against the German Government had not been written down so far as the principal of the debts was concerned; although there had been reductions in interest rates and extensions of time over which the debts might be paid. Moreover, it was noted that the United States had written down its postwar claims against Germany by about 66 percent while the United Kingdom and France-had written down their claims by about 25 percent, although the United Kingdom and France waived interest payments.

the United Kingdom and France waived interest payments. Since it is likely that over the next decade the German economy will be able to support only limited amounts of payments to service external debts, the effect of writing down the claim of the United States Government is to make it possible thereby to service the prewar private loans. The thought was appressed in the committee that the effect of this writedown was to make the American taxpayer who had put up money for postwar economic assistance bear the burden of payments to private American creditors.

aneou of this writedown was to make the American taxpayer who had put up money for postwar economic assistance bear the burden of payments to private American creditors. Representatives of the executive branch of the Government recognized that this was the case. They took the position, however, that total claims against Germany were clearly beyond its capacity to pay, that the German Government should not be expected to repay a substantially larger proportion of its postwar debts to the United States than other European countries which had received American assistance and which, incidentally, continued to service their debte to private American creditors, and that reduction in these postwar claims was in accordance with the procedures and standards of the Economic Cooperation Act of 1948, as amended. Moreover, the executive branch noted that it would have been impossible to induce the German Government to approve any approach to softlement of prewar debts unless and until it knew the sile of its postwar debt and was able to determine its capacity to make payments on both the prewar and the postwar debt. The agreement relating to postwar economic assistance states the policy of the United States "to adjust" claims for postwar assistance to the Federal Republic so that they might "be reduced and placed on a basis generally similar to that established for the other free nations of Europe."

The executive branch further stated it would not have obtained a voluntary agreement to make payment on the postwar obligations unless it had made it possible for the Germans to work out an arrangement on its prewar debt, acceptable to its creditors, which would have the effect of rostoring its credit.

A table showing the ratio between loans and grants to other European countries, as contrasted with the settlement being made with Germany for postwar economic assistance, follows:

### DECLASSIFIED Authority <u>N ND 295 Y8</u> TNABA Date (2 - + + - 07

#### 12 DEET AGREEMENTS WITH FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY

TABLE IV.—United States postwar aid (other than surplus property) to various European countries, 1945-51

[In million dollars ']

Country	Amount	Loans as percent of total aid
Belglum-Lazenbourg	632	28.9
France	2, 123	8.1
Octatany	3, 014	33.2
Italy	1, 681	19.0
Netberlands	929	38.9
United Kingdom	2, 638	13.2

Does not include leans of \$1.2 billion to France and \$3,760 million to the United Kingdom made in early partwar period. Mar. 1, 1953.

It must be recalled in this connection that unless the United States makes it possible for Germany to become an equal member of the international financial community, able to become an equal memory, and carry on normal financial transactions, it is possible that continued American assistance to Germany will be required. Although the committee is reluctant to write down the United States claim for postwar economic assistance which is secured by a priority status similar to that held by the other occupying powers, it believes that the overall situation requires such action at this time. Moreover, as will be noted in table IV above, our treatment of Germany is somewhat less favorable than that accorded other European countries receiving postwar aid.

#### SPECULATION

Since 1941 trading in German bonds has been suspended on public exchanges and the Securities and Exchange Commission requested recognized dealers not to deal in such securities. It is likely that during the 1930's, and during the period when there was no trade in German bonds, some speculators managed to accumulate these bonds at fractions of the amounts they will be worth if these agree-ments come into effect. The committee carefully questioned repre-contations of the amounts beyond to leave whether they took proper sentatives of the executive branch to learn whether they took proper precautions to prevent speculation in these bonds and to inform members of the public of the negotiations so that they would not dispose of their holdings at a time when they might soon again become marketable. The committee hearings show the steps taken by the executive branch to bring these matters to the attention of the American public.

Representatives of the executive departments and the various bondholder groups who appeared before the committee were questioned to determine whether German dollar bonds in default are widely held in determine whether German dollar bonds in default are whether German dollar bonds in default are whether of a few large holders. They were unable to submit definitive evidence as to such holdings, since, according to the witnesses, there is no way of knowing exactly who holds this type of security—although there was general agreement that ownership is widely spread. In response to a committee request, the following memorandum was submitted which tends to show that the bonds are held by a relatively large number of owners.

large number of owners,

#### DEBT AGREE

#### DISTRIBUTION

The bast informa German dollar bon

German dollar hon including accrued h bution or the size or several sources of i they clearly indica the United States a The Senate Com 1931, to February United States.' A dollar issues of the by about 150 band disclosed that of 40 \$152 million there v In 1943 the Tre \$152 million there v In 1943 the Tre foreign assets, inclu-\$83.4 million par v bowever, 25,408 si 21,366 were submitt and trusts for a tu \$17.7 million; and attached table refle individuals. On December 9.

individuals. On December 9, request of the Secu-securities of Germa requested to refrain continues and the 5 withdraw its reque-locted bonds do not fore, that there has bonds since the out The Foreign Bor analysis of over 7,0 This analysis Indic in the Trepsury sur

Distribution of Ger

State	
Alabeme	-
Arizona	
Arzansas	
California	
Calarado	
Commenticut	
Delaware. District of Columbia	
Florida.	
Georgia	
dalio	
Illinuts	
[hdiaba	
[0% <b>8</b>	
Kansa.	
Kentucky	
Malaus	
Malue. Maryland	
bia yiona	
!	h
<sup>1</sup> Rearings before the	С

sale of foreign bonds and 1 U. S. Treasury Depart

. . \* 

#### DEBT AGREEMENTS WITH FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY 13

Contract of the

DECLASSIFIED

Authority <u>NND27541</u> By NABA Date (2-1 4-4

DISTRIBUTION OF GERMAN DOLLAR BONDS IN THE UNITED STATES

\*\*\*\*s

PRODUCED AT THE NATIONAL ARCHIVES

:

•. i

1.00

ŝ

ŝ

:

ALAACCORDEFGIBILISELSMM

1.14

DISTRIBUTION OF GRAMAN DOLLAR BONDS IN THE UNITED STATES The best information available indicates that there are presently outstanding German dollar bonds, both governmental and private, totaling \$287.2 million including accrued interest. It is not possible to determine accurately the distri-bution or the size of the individual holdings of these bonds. Thure are, however, several sources of information on the subject which are considered reliable and they clearly indicate that the dollar bonds are widely distributed throughout the United States and that the size of the holdings are relatively amall. The Senate Committee on Finance conducted hearings from December 18, 1931, to February 10, 1932, on the sale of foreign bonds and scentities in the United States.<sup>1</sup> According to information brought out at these hearings, the dollar issues of the Dawes and Young loans were marketed in the United States by about 150 banks and over 1,000 securities dealers. The hearings further disclosed that of 40 representative Gorman dollar bond issues with a par value of \$152 million there were 37,100 separate sales averaging \$4,100 each. In 1943 the Treasury Department conducted a consus of American-owned foreign assets, including dollar bonds. This census was not complete and only \$83.4 million par value of German dollar bonds were reported.<sup>2</sup> Thore were, however, 25,4409 separate reports filed averaging \$3,700. Of these reports, 21,366 were submitted by individuals for a total of \$50.6 million; 2,575 by estates and trusts for a total of \$9 million; 1,457 by business concerns for a total of \$17.7 million; and 11 by nonprofit organizations for a total of \$45,000. The stached table reflects the geographical distribution of the reports submitted by individuals. On December 9, 1941, the accurities exchanges in the United States, at the individuals.

Individuals. On December 9, 1941, the securities exchanges in the United States, at the request of the Securities and Exchange Commission, suspended dealings in listed securities of German origin. Over-tho-counter brokers and dealers were likewise requested to refrain from effecting transactions in such securities. The suspender continues and the Securities and Exchange Commission considers that it cannot withdraw its request until measures have been taken which will ensure that the looted bonds do not find a market in the United States. It is not believed, there-fore, that there has been any appreciable change in ownership of German dollar bonds since the outbreak of World War II. The Foreign Bondholders Protective Council in the spring of 1952 made an analysis of over 7,000 inquiries it had received regarding German dollar bonds. This analysis indicated that the pattorn of small individual holdings reflected in the Treasury survey has continued.

Distribution	of	Germon	dollar	bonds	held	Ъу	individuals	reported	171	the	1948
				$-T_{reas}$	ktt o	enst	12				

Btara	Number of reports	State	Nomber of reports	Btute	Nomiter of reports
lahama rkarca aliforaila olarado olarado olarado olarado olarado olarado olarado olarado olarado istrict of Columbia lorida. corgis corgis corgis diana was Staad Staad Staad corgis statict y oulatatá nine caryland	28 15 15 197 208 84 153 131 1 14 19 19 10 19 2, 377 10 4 339 10 9 10 9 10 9 10 9 10 9 10 9 10 9	Maxeschuserts Michtes Michtes Mississipri Montans New Factor New Hearpstiro New Hearpstiro New Mearbor New Mearbor New Mearbor New York New York New York New York Neth Dakots Origon Peansylvanis	613 614 70 1,158 62 303 6 40 2,293 9 5,745 41 63 587 41 63	Ciah. : Verotuet. . Viginia : Washington ! West Virginia. : Wigonsig	42 28 34 178 22 67 106 214 31 1,076 27

Hearings before the Committee on Finance, U. S. Senate, 723 Cong., 1st sens., persuant to S. Res. 19, sale of foreign bonds and securities in the United States, pp. 162, 905, and 918.
 U. S. Treasury Department, Consus of American-Owned Assets in Foreign Committee, 1947.

DECLASSIFIED Authority <u>N ND 29.548</u> By <u>R J</u> NARA Date (<u>-- 4-0</u>7

#### 14 DEBT AGREEMENTS WITH FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY

#### OBJECTION TO PROVISIONS RELATING TO REICHSMARK BONDS OF CONVERSION OFFICE FOR GERMAN FOREIGN DEBTS

The committee heard testimony in objection to paragraph 4 (g) of section A, annex I, to the agreement on German external debts which provides that "Reichsmark bonds and scrip will be converted into deutschemark at the rate of 10:1." Objection to this section was voiced by one witness holding bonds orginally payable in reichsmarks issued by the Conversion Office for German Foreign Debts. The witness stated that conversion of such bonds at the rate of 10:1 discriminated against these claimants. He suggested that these bonds, though payable in reichsmarks, were issued under such circumstances as to warrant their treatment as goldmark obligations impressed with a specific foreign character, and thus that they should be paid at the rate of 1 reichsmark to 1 deutschemark, and should not suffer devaluation in the ratio of 10:1, the rate under the currency reform legislation of 1948 enacted by the allied occupation authorities. This subject was explored at some length in the hearings. The committee did not believe, however, that a reservation should be taken on

This subject was explored at some length in the hearings. The committee did not believe, however, that a reservation should be taken on this point as suggested. There was no showing that the witness spoke for any substantial group of Americans who hold bonds payable in reichsmarks. Inquiries made in Bonn indicated that negligible quantities of these bonds are held in the United States, most of them having been issued in Holland, the United Kingdom, Sweden, and Switzerland. Moreover, even if there were many Americans holding such bonds, the committee was impressed by the fact that they were payable in reichsmarks and not in dollars.

• The committee was impressed with the statement on this matter submitted by the Department of State, which appears in the hearings, and particularly by the following statement:

With regard to Mr. Kaufman's point relating to the equities of the situation it must be remembered that relebamark bonds of the konversionskasse were payable in German currency in Germany and, moreover, were issued in respect to debts payable originally in reichsmarks. Since other debts payable in reichsmarks are being settled on a 10-to-1 basis in the debt settlement, not only is it equitable that the reichsmark honds of the konversionskasse should be settled on the same basis, but to settle them on the basis proposed by Mr. Kaufman would be according them an unwarranted preference. Moreover, the one feature of these bonds which distinguishes them from other reichsmark debts, i. e., the convertibility into foreign exchange of mark payments on the bonds, is maintained in the debt agreement.

#### RELATIONSHIF BETWEEN CONTRACTUAL AGREEMENTS AND DEBI SETTLEMENT

It will be recalled that last session the Senate gave its advice and consent to the ratification of the so-called "contractual agreements" with Germany. (See Ex. Rept. No. 16, 82d Cong., 2d sess., especially p. 27.) In chapter 8 of the convention on the settlement of matters atising out of the war and the occupation (see Ex. Q and R, 82d Cong., 2d sess.), Germany reaffirmed its liability for the prewar German debt and postwar economic assistance. While the contractual agreements have not yet entered into effect (not having been approved either by Germany or France), the pending agreements may enter into effect immediately upon ratification. At such time as they enter into force, the powers of the Allied High Commission under the ocDEBT /

cupation st nated and t of the debt of chapter 8

If these i the commit ensue. Pri to negotiat with debtor in a positio position to i American c ments. During s and between recovery we its credit ra

The prink conclusion ( building a s is of interes in Europe g. is necessary The prinare manifes market for t in the prints held in the when the b

Although these agrees does feel the settlement v of the Unite American of that the Ser ratification Ever sine strengthen urged that its shatterenations. T a significant ) OF

g) of zhich

> into was

larks

owitcrimough as to

ith a t the

leval-

gisla-

com-

en on

3poke ble in

igible them

, and dding were

rings,

dion it ayable ) debts (ka are

le that basis, ording which y into e debt

DEBT

e **an**d

enter enter

he oc-

32

ients" ecially iatters Cong., erman agreewoved

### DECLASSIFIED

Authority <u>11129578</u> ByRTNARA Date <u>(2-14-0</u>?

#### DEBT AGREEMENTS WITH FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY 15

cupation statute, respecting claims against Germany, will be terminated and the German Government has been so informed. The effect of the debt agreements coming into force will be to fulfill the terms of chapter 8 of the above-mentioned contractual agreement.

#### CONSEQUENCES OF FAILURE TO RATIFY

If these agreements were not to come into effect, testimony before the committee indicates an expectation that considerable chaos might ensue. Private creditors would undoubtedly band together in order to negotiate settlements directly with the German Government or with debtor groups in Germany. Some creditors in the United States in a position to extend new credit to Germany would be in a good position to force advantageous settlements upon their debtors. Other American creditors would be virtually powerless to negotiate settlements.

During such a period of competition between American creditors and between American creditors and those in other countries, German recovery would undoubtedly be retarded while it sought to establish its credit rating in the international financial market.

#### ADVANTAGES OF THE AGREEMENTS

The principal advantage to the United States Government in the conclusion of these agreements will be the assistance they will give to building a strong German economy. So long as a healthy Germany is of interest to the United States in its desire to prevent conditions in Europe giving rise to Communist threats, a sound German economy is necessary.

The principal advantages of the agreements to private creditors are manifest. Once the bonds have been validated, there will be a market for them. Evidence before the committee, which is reproduced in the printed hearings, indicates that German dollar bonds are widely held in the United States and many American citizens will benefit when the bonds become salable.

#### CONCLUSION

Although the Committee on Foreign Relations is not satisfied that these agreements establish what might be described as full justice, it does feel that under the circumstances they represent the best kind of settlement which can be made at this time in the interests not only of the United States as a whole but in the interests of thousands of American citizens who invested their savings in Germany. It hopes that the Senate will give its advice and consent at an early date to the ratification of these agreements.

that the Senate will give its advice and consent at an early dave to all ratification of these agreements. Ever since the end of the war this committee, in its desire to strengthen the forces of democracy in the world, has repeatedly urged that every opportunity should be given Germany to rebuild its shattered economy and assume an equal place in the family of nations. The committee believes that these agreements constitute a significant step toward that goal.

0