No. 184 – General Protective Committee v. SEC

 $\underline{\text{CJ}}$ – 924 gives right to review parts of the order not made subject to Det enforcement – if the order has been in two parts, then CA would review one. Det another – CA without prior direction to review the warrants – but it had authority to review the other – reviews in part.

Black – agrees to reverse – part

<u>Reed</u> – probably reverses in <u>toto</u> – the way you try the question of reorganization is under §11 – 11 is the way to try out whether this is a proper reorganization.

 \overline{FF} – he is welcome to agree with Reed – shies off from saying you can go one place or another depending on the type of order –

Wou – reverses or reports warrant holders.

RHJ – not sure where he comes out – inclined to revise

HB – warrant holders should be revised

<u>TC</u> – revises on warrants – agrees with CJ

<u>Sen</u> – inclined to offer